Principles for Responsible Investment

PUBLIC RI REPORT

2021 PILOT

Swiss Life Group

Generated 2022-11-21

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	39
Listed Equity (LE)	67
Fixed Income (FI)	83
Real Estate (RE)	97
Infrastructure (INF)	110

Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

Senior leadership statement

Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

• Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?

- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?
- What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

Swiss Life Asset Managers, the asset management division of Swiss Life, has been mandated to manage the insurance assets of Swiss Life and its subsidiaries. Therefore, Swiss Life Asset Managers approach to responsible investment is encompassing all insurance assets of Swiss Life. Further, Swiss Life Asset Managers' approach to responsible investments is covering all third-party assets.

Swiss Life systematically integrates ESG considerations into the investment process across all asset classes and for the investment of both proprietary and third-party assets, managed by Swiss Life Asset Managers. Relevant processes include the pre-investment phase, the investment decision and the post-investment phase and are carried out by Swiss Life Asset Managers. For Swiss Life, responsible investment means integrating Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) criteria, as well as risk factors and financial metrics, into a controlled and structured investment process. Swiss Life Asset Managers' investment strategy is designed on a sustainable and long-term basis and harmonised with insurance liabilities. The investment policy is oriented towards long-term and stable returns. Swiss Life is aware of its responsibility as demonstrated by its actions in investment allocation and the exercise of its voting rights according to clearly defined criteria. Swiss Life is committed to apply responsible investing throughout its operations. Concretely, Swiss Life:

- integrates quantitative and qualitative ESG information about potential investments in its analysis.

- applies pre-defined selection criteria to exclude positions with very bad ESG performance, severe controversies or positions that are based on an economic activity that does not align with Swiss Life Group's fundamental standards and values. The decision about the selection criteria is within the Group Executive Board.

- incorporates ESG considerations into its strategic asset allocation and evaluates the impact of ESG information on portfolio construction.

- closely monitors exposure to main ESG risks and transparently communicates this information internally and externally.

When talking about differences to peers, two aspects are worth mentioning:. First, Swiss Life Asset Managers want to see ESG factors incorporated into the day-to-day processes of all its teams, from portfolio to fund, and risk to sales management. By putting ESG experts in charge in all business areas , Swiss Life Asset Managers plans to bridge the gap between business and ESG expertise. The ESG ambassadors pursue this role. Secondly, Swiss Life Asset Managers responsible investment approach is targeting all major asset classes, i.e. Fixed Income, Equities, Real Estate & Infrastructure. Thus, approximately 90% of the total assets under management of Swiss Life are ESG integrated.

Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

• Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.

- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In the reporting year the responsible investment approach was developed further to include a special focus on climate scenario analyses and the integration of climate indicators. This focus resulted in the following achievements (selection of the most material ones):

- Swiss Life supports the goals of the Paris Agreement. For example, it has defined a strategy for its insurance business investments to exit the carbon-intensive coal sector. Swiss Life refrains from investing in bonds issued by companies which derive more than 10% of their revenue from the mining, extraction and sale of coal for power stations. In the reporting year, all securities of these coal companies were sold.

- Climate change and the associated risks are also central to the "active ownership" approach. In order to support collective undertaking, Swiss Life recently joined "Climate Action 100+". Further, Swiss Life has launched a green investment programme. Here the carbon footprint of companies and countries is used to control the portfolios' carbon intensity. In this context, Swiss Life has also set itself the goal of investing CHF 2 billion in green bonds by 2023.

- Swiss Life seeks to minimise the transitory and physical risks associated with climate change in the management of its investment portfolio by focusing on sustainable long-term investments. Thus forward-looking indicators from scenario analyses (e.g. "climate value-at-risk") are integrated into the investment and risk management processes in addition to carbon intensity. In order to better assess its understanding of climate risks, Swiss Life has participated in the Paris Agreement Capital Transition Assessment (PACTA) since 2017. This was organised by the Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) and the "2°Investing Initiative". The integration of climate scenario metrics and the further application of different transition scenarios will be continued step by step.

Beside these climate-related achievements, Swiss Life has enhanced its transparency. On one hand, Swiss Life Asset Managers published its first cross asset-class Responsible Investment Report. The report is available under the following link: www.swisslife-am.com/rireport. On the other hand, Swiss Life publishes a report based on the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) on a yearly basis. This report can be downloaded at wisslife.com/reports. Full details regarding the climate strategy can be found in the Swiss Life climate report.

Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Encompassing a comprehensive ESG integration in all asset classes and a well-founded engagement approach, Swiss Life sees itself in a strong position for the challenges ahead. Besides enhancing the responsible investment approach with climate scenario analysis and reduction pathways, Swiss Life seeks to strengthen product offering in terms of sustainability.

Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Stefan Mächler
Position	Group CIO
Organisation's name	Swiss Life Group

• This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by Swiss Life Group in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of Swiss Life Group's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

Organisational Overview (OO)

Organisational information

Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosu	re Subsection	PRI Principle			
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisatio	n GENERAL			
Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.									
	(C) Insurance company					(or primary)			
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
OO 1.2	CORE	OO 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL			
Select which in	surance products you o	offer.							
		(A) Life		((1) This is our only (or primary) type				
			(2) This is an additional (secondary) type						
	(C) Property & casualty					dditional type			
(D) Reinsurance					(2) This is an additional (secondary) type				

Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

(A) Yes
 ● (B) No

Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

Assets under management

All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL			
What were yo	What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.								
. ,	of your organisation, ling subsidiaries	US\$ 271,584,000,0	00.00						
PRI signa right and	f subsidiaries that are atories in their own excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00							
advisory,	subject to execution, custody, or research dvisory only	US\$ 0.00							

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

	Percentage of AUM
(A) Listed equity – internal	6.96%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.39%
(C) Fixed income – internal	43.94%

(D) Fixed income – external	0.69%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.01%
(G) Real estate – internal	28.49%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	2.33%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.05%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%
(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	
Mortgages, Loans, Cash, Money Market, Multi-Asset	17.14%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

		(1) Listed eq	uity (2) Fix	ed income	(3) Private	e equity
(A) S	begregated mandate(s)	0.0%	100.0%		0.0%	
(B) Pc	ooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	100.0%	0.0%		100.0%	
Indicator	Type of	Dependent	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle

Indicator	indicator	on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	00 5, 00 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

	(A) Internal allocation	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Passive equity	70.55%	86.06%
(2) Active – quantitative	29.45%	13.94%
(3) Active – fundamental	0.0%	0.0%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%	0.0%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your fixed income assets.

	(A) Internal allocation	(B) External allocation – segregated
(1) Passive – SSA	0.0%	0.0%
(2) Passive – corporate	0.0%	21.83%
(3) Passive – securitised	0.0%	0.0%
(4) Active $-$ SSA	50.63%	78.17%
(5) Active – corporate	48.63%	0.0%
(6) Active – securitised	0.74%	0.0%
(7) Private debt	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 PE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your private equity assets.

	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Venture capital	0.0%
(2) Growth capital	25.0%
(3) (Leveraged) buyout	50.0%

(4) Distressed, turnaround or special situations	25.0%
(5) Secondaries	0.0%
(6) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 RE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your real estate assets.

	(A) Internal allocation
(1) Retail	10.0%
(2) Office	2.0%
(3) Industrial	1.0%
(4) Residential	18.0%
(5) Hotel	2.0%
(6) Lodging, leisure and recreation	1.0%
(7) Education	0.0%
(8) Technology/science	0.0%
(9) Healthcare	4.0%
(10) Mixed use	62.0%
(11) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 INF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your infrastructure assets.

	(A) Internal allocation
(1) Data infrastructure	9.8%
(2) Energy and water resources	1.6%
(3) Environmental services	11.7%
(4) Network utilities	23.7%
(5) Power generation (excl. renewables)	0.8%
(6) Renewable power	27.8%
(7) Social infrastructure	0.0%
(8) Transport	24.6%
(9) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 HF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	OO 9 HF, OO 10	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your hedge fund assets.

	(A) Internal allocation
(1) Multi strategy	100.0%
(2) Long/short equity	0.0%

(3) Long/short credit	0.0%
(4) Distressed, special situations and event-driven fundamental	0.0%
(5) Structured credit	0.0%
(6) Global macro	0.0%
(7) Commodity trading advisor	0.0%
(8) Other, please specify:	0.0%

ESG strategies

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	100.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%

	(H) None	0.0%				
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	0.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	100.0%

Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 FI	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 FI	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Externally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 SAM	CORE	OO 5.2 LE, OO 5.2 FI	OO 6.1 SAM	PUBLIC	Externally managed assets	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies apply to your externally managed active listed equity and fixed income?

	(1) Listed equity - external	(2) Fixed income – SSA - external
(A) Screening alone	100.0%	0.0%

(B) Th	nematic alone	0.0%			0.0%	
(C) Int	egration alone	0.0%			0.0%	
(D) Screenin	ng and integration	0.0%			0.0%	
(E) Themat	ic and integration	0.0%			0.0%	
(F) Screen	ing and thematic	0.0%			0.0%	
(G) All three	strategies combined	0.0%			0.0%	
(1	H) None	0.0%			100.0%	
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 SAM	CORE	OO 6 SAM	N/A	PUBLIC	Externally managed assets	1

What type of screening is applied to your externally managed active listed equity and fixed income?

	(1) Listed equity - external
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	100.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%

Hedge funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 HF	CORE	OO 5	HF 10	PUBLIC	Hedge funds	1

Do you conduct negative screening on your hedge fund assets?

○ (A) Yes
 ○ (B) No

Externally managed assets

Captive relationships

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Captive relationships	GENERAL

Does your organisation have a captive relationship with some or all of its external investment managers?

○ (A) Yes
 ○ (B) No

Investment consultants

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	OO 5	SAM 1	PUBLIC	Investment consultants	GENERAL

Does your organisation engage investment consultants in the selection, appointment or monitoring of your external investment managers?

● (A) Yes ◦ (B) No

Stewardship

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(2) Engagement on listed equity – passive	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active	(4) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – passive
(A) Through service providers				
(B) Through external managers				
(C) Through internal staff				
(D) Collaboratively				
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity				

Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	(2) Passive – corporate	(4) Active – SSA	(5) Active – corporate	(6) Active – securitised
(A) Through service providers				
(B) Through external managers				
(C) Through internal staff				
(D) Collaboratively	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$		
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type				

Private equity, real estate and infrastructure

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 ALT	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Private equity, real estate and infrastructure	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities in the following asset classes?

	(1) Private equity	(2) Real estate	(3) Infrastructure
(A) Through service providers			
(B) Through external managers			

(C) Through internal staff		
(D) Collaboratively		
(E) We did not conduct stewardship activities for this asset class		

Hedge funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 HF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 HF	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Hedge funds	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your hedge fund assets?

	(1) Engagement	
(A) Through service providers		
(C) Through internal staff		
(D) Collaboratively		
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity		

ESG incorporation

Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(A) Listed equity – passive	۲	0
(B) Listed equity – active – quantitative	۲	o
(F) Fixed income – SSA	۲	0
(G) Fixed income – corporate	۲	o
(H) Fixed income – securitised	۲	o
(K) Real estate	۲	o
(L) Infrastructure	۲	o
(M) Hedge funds - Multi strategy	0	۲
(W) Other [as specified]	۲	0

External manager selection

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager selection. Your response should refer to the selection of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when such selection took place.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager selection	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager selection
(A) Listed equity – passive	0	۲
(B) Listed equity – active	0	۲
(C) Fixed income – passive	0	۲
(D) Fixed income – active	0	۲
(E) Private equity	0	۲

External manager appointment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager appointment. Your response should refer to the appointment of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when their appointment took place.

(1) ESG incorporated into external		(2) ESG not incorporated into external
manager appointment		manager appointment
(C) Fixed income – passive	0	۲

The following externally managed asset classes are reported in OO 5.1 as 100% pooled funds or pooled investments and, therefore, ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable.

0

(3) ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable as we only invest in pooled funds

۲

(A) Listed equity – passive	۲
(B) Listed equity – active	۲
(E) Private equity	۲

External manager monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 13	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporated ESG into external manager monitoring during the reporting year.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager monitoring	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager monitoring
(A) Listed equity – passive	۲	0
(B) Listed equity – active	۲	0
(C) Fixed income – passive	۲	0
(D) Fixed income – active	۲	0
(E) Private equity	o	۲

Voluntary reporting

Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle		
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL		
	The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.							
		(1) Yes, re	eport on the module	(2) No	o, opt out of repo module	orting on the		
(H)	(H) Infrastructure							
appointn	nal manager selection, nent and monitoring M) – listed equity		0		۲			
appointn	nal manager selection, nent and monitoring A) – fixed income	,	0		۲			
The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.								
(1) Yes, report on the module								

ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy	۲
(A) Listed equity	۲
(B) Fixed income – SSA	۲
(C) Fixed income – corporate	۲

(D) Fixed income – securitised	۲	
(G) Real estate	۲	

Pooled funds governance: Appointment

Indicator	Type of indicator	$\begin{array}{c} { m Dependent} \\ { m on} \end{array}$	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 15	CORE	OO 5.1	SAM 12	PUBLIC	Pooled funds governance: Appointment	GENERAL

Would you like to voluntarily report on ESG incorporation in the appointment of your external managers for pooled funds?

• (A) Yes ● (B) No

ESG/sustainability funds and products

Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

	Percentage
(A) Listed equity – passive	0.0%
(B) Listed equity – active	17.5%
(C) Fixed income – passive	0.0%
(D) Fixed income – active	0.5%

(F) Real estate	0.0%
(G) Infrastructure	0.0%
(K) Other	1.2%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

	Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:
(A) Listed equity	5.0%
(B) Fixed income	0.0%
(I) Other	0.0%

Climate investments

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

23.0%

Other asset breakdowns

Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income – SSA	(3) Fixed income – corporate	(4) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Developed	100.0%	87.1%	93.3%	100.0%
(B) Emerging	0.0%	2.2%	5.1%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	9.4%	1.4%	0.0%
	(6) Private equity	(7) Real estate	(8) Infrastructure	(9) Hedge funds
(A) Developed	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(B) Emerging	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Management by PRI signatories

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What approximate percentage (+/-5%) of your externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

95.0%

Fixed income constraints

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	OO 20.1	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

What percentage of your fixed income assets are subject to constraints? The constraints may be regulatory requirements, credit quality restrictions, currency constraints or similar.

Internal and external fixed income assets subject to constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA	100.0%
(B) Fixed income – corporate	100.0%
(C) Fixed income – securitised	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20.1	CORE	OO 20	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL
Describe the cons	straints to your fixed	income assets.				

	Fixed income constraints
(A) Fixed income – SSA	Among others constraints regarding sanctionned countries
(B) Fixed income – corporate	Among others constraints against producers of controversial weapons

Real estate: Building type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 5	RE 1, RE 9, RE 10	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets by strategy?

Percentage total of direct physical real estate AUM

(A) Standing investments	92.0%
(B) New construction	6.0%
(C) Major renovation	2.0%

Real estate: Ownership level

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 25	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Real estate: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets by level of ownership?

(A) A majority stake (50% and above)	(5) > 75%
(B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)	(2) 1 - 10%
(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)	(1) 0%

Real estate: Management type

Indicator	Type of indicator	${ m Dependent}$ on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 26	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Real estate: Management type	GENERAL
What is the pe	rcentage breakdow	vn of your direct j	physical real estate as	sets based on w	ho manages these assets?	

(A) Directly by our organisation	(5) > 75%
(B) By external property managers that our organisation appoints	(3) 11 – 50%
(C) By other investors or their property managers	$(1) \ 0\%$
(D) By a tenant(s) with operational control	(1) 0%

Infrastructure: Fund strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 27	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Fund strategy	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure investments by fund type?

(A) Open-ended	$(1) \ 0\%$
(B) Closed-ended	(5) > 75%

Infrastructure: Nature of ownership

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 28	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Nature of ownership	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by investment vehicle?

(A) Direct investment	(5) > 75%
(B) Limited liability company or partnership	$(1) \ 0\%$
(C) Joint venture (JV) with a government entity	$(1) \ 0\%$
(D) Joint venture (JV) with a private entity	$(1) \ 0\%$
(E) Joint venture (JV) with a public entity	$(1) \ 0\%$
(F) Separate account	$(1) \ 0\%$
(G) Special purpose vehicle	$(1) \ 0\%$

Infrastructure: Ownership level

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 29	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by level of ownership?

(A) A majority stake (50% and above) $$	(4) 51–75%
(B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)	(3) 11–50%
(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)	(3) 11-50%

Infrastructure: Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 30	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Strategy	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's internally managed infrastructure assets by investment strategy?

Percentage of total internally managed infrastructure AUM

(A) Core	86.0%
(B) Value added	14.0%
(C) Opportunistic	0.0%

Infrastructure: Type of asset

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 31	CORE	OO 5	INF 1	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Type of asset	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your infrastructure assets by strategy?

Percentage of total internally managed infrastructure AUM

(A) Standing investments/operating assets	100.0%
(B) New construction	0.0%
(C) Major renovation	0.0%

Infrastructure: Management type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Management type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct infrastructure assets based on who manages these assets?

(A) Directly by our organisation	(3) 11-50%
(B) By third party infrastructure operators that we appoint	(4) 51-75%
(C) By other investors or their third party operators	(2) 1–10%
(D) By public or government entities or their third party operators	(1) 0%

Context and explanation

Appointment: Pooled funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 33	CORE	00 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment: Pooled funds	GENERAL

For your externally managed pooled funds, please describe any other mechanisms in place to set expectations as part of the appointment or commitment process.

During the due diligence of external funds, ESG aspects are considered of both the fund and the asset manager. PRI membership of the fund's asset manager is set as minimum requirement.

ESG in other asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 34	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

Describe how you incorporate ESG into the following asset classes.

	Description
(C) Other – internal	Multi-Asset: ESG issues are addressed in line with the implementation for Equity and Fixed Income, see respective modules. Money Market: ESG is integrated and addressed as described in the fixed income module (corporates).

$\mathbf{ESG}\ \mathbf{not}\ \mathbf{incorporated}$

Indicator	tor Type of Dependent on indicator		Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle		
OO 35	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1, 2		
Describe why you currently do not incorporate ESG into your assets and/or why you currently do not conduct stewardship.								
			Descripti	on				
	(J) Internally man	aged: Hedge funds			ncorporate ESG int s low significance in portfolio.			
(N) Externally managed: Listed equity – passive The efforts needed to conduct stewardship into this class would not match its low significance in Swiss L portfolio.								
(0)	Externally managed	: Listed equity – active			onduct stewardship its low significance portfolio.			
(P) E	Externally managed:	Fixed income – passive			onduct stewardship its low significance portfolio.			
(Q) 1	Externally managed	: Fixed income – active			conduct stewardship its low significance portfolio.			
(R) Externally mana	aged: Private equity			ncorporate ESG int s low significance in portfolio.			

Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP) Responsible investment policy & governance

Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

(A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

 \circ (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- \square (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- \square (C) Guidelines on social factors
- \square (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship
- (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- \square (G) Approach to exclusions
- I (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- ☑ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- (K) Responsible investment governance structure

 \blacksquare (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment

- \square (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- ☑ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment

 \Box (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/esg-leaflet
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/esg-leaflet
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/esg-leaflet
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/esg-leaflet
- ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/esg-leaflet
- ✓ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes. Add link(s):
 https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport
- ☑ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/esg-leaflet
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport
- ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport
- ✓ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):
 https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport
- (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport
- ☑ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport

- \Box (M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s):
- \Box (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

 \square (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

 \circ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

• (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

• (C) Guidelines on social factors

• (D) Guidelines on governance factors

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

90.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

(A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)

 \square (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)

 \square (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

	AUM Coverage:
(A) Listed Equity	75.0%
(B) Fixed Income	99.0%
(D) Real Estate	100.0%
(E) Infrastructure	100.0%

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

 $Do your \ organisation's \ board, \ chief-level \ staff, \ investment \ committee \ and/or \ head \ of \ department \ have \ formal \ oversight \ and \ accountability \ for \ responsible \ investment?$

 \square (A) Board and/or trustees

Z (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

 \square (C) Investment committee

 \Box (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:

☑ (E) Head of department, please specify department:

Head of ESG

 \square (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- \Box (A) Board and/or trustees
- Z (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- \square (C) Investment committee
- \Box (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- \square (E) Head of department [as specified]
- \square (F) Portfolio managers
- \Box (G) Investment analysts
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- \Box (I) Investor relations
- \Box (J) External managers or service providers
- \Box (K) Other role, please specify:
- \square (L) Other role, please specify:
- \Box (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(2) Chief- level staff	(3) Investment committee	(5) Head of department [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers	(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities		Z				
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach		V				

(a)

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)		Ø	Ø	
(D) Objective for ESG performance				
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]				
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	V			
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role				

Please specify for "(F) Other objective related to responsible investment".

Further, the Board has the formal oversight for the responsible investment strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

(1) Board and/or trustees	
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option F)	

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(3) Investment committee	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	V
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	V
(5) Head of department	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	I
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	J
(D) Objective for ESG performance	

(2) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

(6) Portfolio managers

(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

• (A) Quarterly or more frequently

 \circ (B) Bi-annually

 \circ (C) Annually

 \circ (D) Less frequently than annually

 \circ (E) On an ad hoc basis

 \circ (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

☑ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes

 \square (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes

 \Box (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation

 $\hfill\square$ (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
ISP 10.1	CORE	ISP 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1	
For what proportion of assets do you incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation process?							
(A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of (2) for the majority of our							

(B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes(3) for a minority of our assets

assets

Stewardship

Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

asset classes

(A) Listed equity	100.0%
(B) Fixed income	99.0%
(D) Real estate	100.0%
(E) Infrastructure	100.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- \square (A) Key stewardship objectives
- (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- \Box (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- ☑ (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities

 \square (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)

☑ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)

🗹 (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)

- (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- \Box (I) Escalation strategies
- \square (J) Conflicts of interest

 \Box (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled

 \square (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decisionmaking and vice versa

 \square (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

• (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions

• (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)

• (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional

 \circ (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	۲	۲	۲	۲
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	O	0	0	0
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	o	0	0	o
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	o	0	0	0

Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	V	V		
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance			V	V
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)		V	V	V
(D) The ESG rating of the entity			V	
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance				
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients				
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries				
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:				
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets				

Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

 \circ (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts

 \circ (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool

• (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation

• (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis

• (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors		
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)		
(D) Voting against the re- election of one or more board directors		

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	

Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

 \square (A) We engage with policymakers directly

 \square (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers

 \Box (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.1	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

What methods do you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

 \square (A) We participate in "sign-on" letters on ESG policy topics. Describe:

(B) We respond to policy consultations on ESG policy topics. Describe:

Swiss Life participates in policy consultations by regulators or industry associations, where it deems such participation appropriate to promote ESG awareness and knowledge. In such consultations, Swiss Life contributes technical expertise across all relevant asset classes in order to advocate for a regulatory framework that fosters ESG investments. Swiss Life focusses specifically on enhancing transparency that enables stakeholders to make informed decisions.

☑ (C) We provide technical input on ESG policy change. Describe:

Swiss Life shares its ESG expertise through industry associations by actively contributing to relevant working groups and governing bodies. Additionally, Swiss Life engages with policy makers to share its ESG knowledge and to provide practical industry insights.

\square (D) We proactively engage financial regulators on financial regulatory topics regarding ESG integration, stewardship, disclosure or similar. Describe:

Swiss Life regularly meets with regulators and policy makers in a direct dialogue to share expertise and practical market insights and to enhance the mutual understanding of relevant topics. Such discussions focus on general and specific ESG topics, such as transparency regulation or climate risks.

(E) We proactively engage regulators and policymakers on other policy topics. Describe:

Such discussions also include relevant topics such as life insurance, occupational pensions, investment and advisory services.

 \Box (F) Other methods used to engage with policymakers. Describe:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.2	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

Do you have governance processes in place (e.g. board accountability and oversight, regular monitoring and review of relationships) that ensure your policy activities, including those through third parties, are aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

• (A) Yes, we have governance processes in place to ensure that our policy activities are aligned with our position on sustainable finance and our commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI. Describe your governance processes:

Swiss Life monitors politically relevant trends and issues and develops its own positions. It maintains dialogue with the relevant stekeholders. A Political Communication Steering Committee defines the strategic focus. Its members are the Group CEO and the Group CFO, the CEOs of divisions headquartered in Switzerland, the General Counsel, the Chief Risk Officer, the Head of Group Communications and Strategic Marketing and the Head of Group Human Resources. The steering committee meets four times a year and defines its political communication priorities. The Public Affairs team is responsible for implementing the measures at operational level. In collaboration with the ESG team of Swiss Life Asset Managers, Public Affairs identifies material responsible investment issues.

Political advocacy work and communication in Switzerland, France and Germany are closely coordinated with trade associations. Moreover, a number of company executives are members of various national trade associations. In Switzerland, Swiss Life is committed to support public service. Given the particular political system in Switzerland, with political positions usually being exercised as a parttime mandate, this promotes better understanding among the worlds of politics, society and business and enables valuable knowledge transfer. Swiss Life therefore supports employees who take on a political or public office. Full-time employees can use up to 20% of their working hours for such work. In addition,Swiss Life holds regular events in Switzerland for employees who hold public or political mandates.

• (B) No, we do not have these governance processes in place. Please explain why not:

Engaging policymakers - Policies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24	CORE	ISP 23	ISP 24.1	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

Do you have policies in place that ensure that your political influence as an organisation is aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

 \circ (A) Yes, we have a policy (ies) in place. Describe your policy (ies):

(B) No, we do not a policy(ies) in place. Please explain why not:

We continuously develop and enhance our ESG framework. The alignment of our political influence as an organization with our position on sustainable finance and to our commitment to the 6 principles of the PRI is already considered in the current processes.

Engaging policymakers – Transparency

Indicator	Type of indicator	${ m Dependent}$ on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 25	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Transparency	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose your policy engagement activities or those conducted on your behalf by external investment managers/service providers?

 ✓ (A) We publicly disclosed details of our policy engagement activities. Add link(s): https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport, www.swisslife.com/ar2020

(B) We publicly disclosed a list of our third-party memberships in or support for trade associations, think-tanks or similar that conduct policy engagement activities with our support or endorsement. Add link(s):
 https://www.swisslife-am.com/rireport, www.swisslife.com/ar2020

 \Box (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our policy engagements activities during the reporting year. Explain why:

 \Box (D) Not applicable, we did not conduct policy engagement activities

Climate change

Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

 \odot (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

www.swisslife.com/ar2020

 \circ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

 \odot (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

https://reporting.swisslife.com/en/2020TCFD/report/tcfd-report

 \circ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

 \square (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

The Group CEO acts as chair of the highest steering committee for sustainability and provides regular updates on the relevant sustainability issues to the Board of Directors.

(B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

Swiss Life has several roles which are integrating climate aspects in their operation. Just to name the most relevant: Group CIO, Group CEO, Group CRO, Head of sustainability, Head of ESG. Especially in the risk and investment department climate-related risks are deeply embedded in reporting, monitoring and business operation development.

- (C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:
 Especially public affairs has very frequent discussions with investors regarding Swiss Life's climate strategy.
- (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:
 Climate risks and opportunities are a core part of the Swiss Life's approach to responsible investment as communicated in its responsible investment report. Both are indicating the integration of climate in investment policies and beliefs.
- (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:
 See Swiss Life's climate-related disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report
- \square (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:

By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify: The integration of climate aspects in our understanding of fiduciary duty is described in both Swiss Life Asset Managers' approach to responsible investment and the responsible investment report

- \square (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:
- □ (H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

 \square (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

- See Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report
- ☑ (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:
 See Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure :www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report
- ☑ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:
 See Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

(D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:
 See Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

(E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:
 See Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

□ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

- ☑ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:
- See Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

\square (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

We have identified, for example companies generating revenues with mining and selling of thermal coal, see Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure : www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

\square (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

We have identified, for example flooding risks as relevant in Swiss Life's real estate portfolio, see Swiss Life's climate-related financial disclosure: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

 \Box (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

☑ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

We have identified, for example renewable energy infrastructure or companies which are part of the solution of the low carbon transition: www.swisslife.com/tcfd-report

- \Box (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
- \Box (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:
- (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

(1) $3-5$ months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years	
(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–3	0 years	(7) >30 years	
	C]		
]		
		(1) 3-5 months 2 years 2 years 	(1) 3-5 months 2 years (3) 2-4 years . . .	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
that are likel range of cli	sectors and/or assets y to benefit under a mate scenarios [as specified]					
direct phys	with exposure to ical climate risk [as specified]					

N/A

PUBLIC

Strategy

General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

 \square (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

CORE

 \square (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

N/A

 \Box (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

 \square (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

 \Box (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

 \square (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

 \Box (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

(H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

Strategy: Scenario analysis

ISP 31

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

 \square (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario

(B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response

☑ (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario

 \Box (D) Other climate scenario, specify:

 \Box (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

Sustainability outcomes

Set policies on sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 40	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Where is your approach to sustainability outcomes set out? Your policy/guideline may be a standalone document or part of a wider responsible investment policy.

(A) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our responsible investment policy

- \square (B) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our exclusion policy
- \Box (C) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our stewardship policy

☑ (D) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in asset class-specific investment guidelines

 \square (E) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in separate guidelines on specific outcomes (e.g. the SDGs, climate or human rights)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 41	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which global or regionally recognised frameworks do your policies and guidelines on sustainability outcomes refer to?

- \square (A) The SDG goals and targets
- ☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
- \Box (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights

 \square (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

 \Box (E) Other frameworks, please specify:

 \Box (F) Other frameworks, please specify:

Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- ☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
- \Box (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- □ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for
- Institutional Investors
- \Box (E) The EU Taxonomy
- \Box (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
- \square (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
 - UN Global Compact
- ☐ (H) Other framework/tool, please specify: GRI
- ☑ (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:
 SASB

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

- \Box (A) At the asset level
- \Box (B) At the economic activity level
- ☑ (C) At the company level
- \Box (D) At the sector level
- \Box (E) At the country/region level
- \Box (F) At the global level
- \square (G) Other level(s), please specify:
- At portfolio level

 \square (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

(A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities

 \square (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities

 \square (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes

 \Box (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)

 \Box (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)

 \Box (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)

 \Box (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives

 \Box (H) Other method, please specify:

 \Box (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

Information disclosed - ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

☑ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)

 \square (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)

☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)

 \square (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered

☑ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds

 \square (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance

☑ (G) Our stewardship approach

☑ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)

☑ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction

 \square (J) A list of our main investments and holdings

 \square (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)

 \Box (L)We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

Information disclosed - All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

(A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)

 \square (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)

☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)

☑ (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered

- \Box (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- ☑ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- G (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☑ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- \blacksquare (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- \square (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- \Box (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)

 \Box (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

 \Box (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion

 \Box (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year

 \Box (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report

🗹 (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report

 \square (E) Some or all of our funds have been audited as part of the certification process against a sustainable investment/RI label

 \square (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)

 \Box (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)

 \Box (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making

 \square (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI

 \Box (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle		
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6		
Who has revie	Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?							
	(A) Board and/or trustees (4) report							
(B) Chief-	level staff (e.g. Chia (CIO) or	(1) the ent	ire report					
	(1	(4) report no	(4) report not reviewed					
L	(D) Othe	er chief-level staff, p N/A	lease specify:		(4) report no	ot reviewed		
I	(E) Hea	d of department, pl Head of ESG			(1) the ent	ire report		
	(F) Con	npliance/risk manag	gement team		(4) report no	ot reviewed		
	(G) Legal team					ot reviewed		
	(H) RI/ESG team					ire report		
	(I) Investment teams					the report		

Listed Equity (LE)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
Does your org	ganisation have a formal i	nvestment process	to identify mate	rial ESG factor	s across listed equities?	
		(1) H	Passive equity		(2) Active – quar	ntitative
process to	s, we have a formal identify material ESG for all of our assets		۲		۲	
process to	s, we have a formal identify material ESG r the majority of our assets		o		O	
process to	s, we have a formal identify material ESG or a minority of our assets		0		O	
process	e do not have a formal s. Our investment nals identify material actors at their own discretion		0		0	
	e do not have a formal identify material ESG factors		0		0	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
How does your	How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?					
		(1) F	assive equity		(2) Active - Quan	titative
incorporates	vestment process material governance factors					
incorpo	vestment process rates material al and social factors					
incorporat factors beyon	evestment process tes material ESG ad our organisation's atment time horizon					
incorpora material ESG	vestment process ates the effect of factors on revenues iness operations				V	

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

	(1) Passive equity	(2) Active – quantitative
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	۲	۲

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	0	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	0	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	0	0

ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

	(1) Passive equity	(2) Active – quantitative
(A) We incorporate governance- related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations		
(B) We incorporateenvironmental and social risksinto financial modelling andequity valuations		
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations		
 (D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process 		

(E) We do not incorporate ESG risks into our financial modelling and equity valuations							
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
LE 3.1	CORE	LE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1	
valuation pro	ortion of cases do you inc cess?	-	ctive - Quantitat	·			
(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (3) in a minority of cases							
(B) We inc	corporate environmental a	nd social risks into valuations	financial modelli	ng and equity	(3) in a minorit	y of cases	

Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

	(1) Passive equity	(2) Active – quantitative
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics		
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics		

(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4.1	CORE	LE 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following information when assessing the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(2) Active – quantitative

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(2) in the majority of cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(1) Passive equity	(2) Active – quantitative
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors		
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors		
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors		
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process		
(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below)		
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1			
In what propo	ortion of cases did l	ESG factors influe	ence your por	tfolio construc	tion?				
	(1) Passive equity								
(A) The	(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG (1) in all cases factors								
(C) The po	rtfolio weighting o i	f individual assets influenced by ESC	-	ortfolio or ber	achmark is (1) in all case	s			
			(2) Active	- quantitative					
(A) The	(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases								
(C) The po	 (C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors (2) in the majority of c 								

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

 \square (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our

ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening

 \square (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening

 \Box (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance

 \Box (D) Other, please specify:

 \Box (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1	
Do your regular	reviews incorporate ES	8G risks?					
		(1)	Passive equity		(2) Active – quan	titative	
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities							
aggregate information	lar reviews include d quantitative on material ESG a fund level						
highlight fun	(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed						
(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency							
(E) We do no	ot conduct reviews						

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1	
Do you regula	arly identify and incorpora	ate ESG incidents	into the investn	nent process fo	r your listed equity assets?		
		(1)	Passive equity		(2) Active – quan	titative	
process i identifyir ESG inci	s, we have a formal in place for regularly ag and incorporating idents into all of our estment decisions		۲		۲		
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions		ο			Ο		
process i identifyir ESG incide	(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions		o		ο		
process in	, we have an ad hoc a place for identifying porating ESG incidents		o		o		
	(E) Other		0		0		
process i identifyir ESG i	arrently do not have a in place for regularly ng and incorporating incidents into our nent decision-making		o		o		

Passive equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive equity	1

What percentage of your total passive listed equity assets utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

0.0%

н

$\mathbf{Reporting/Disclosure}$

Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	۲	o	O	O
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	۲	o	O	O

(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation				
from a benchmark or impact on	۲	0	0	0
sector weightings, to clients				
and/or beneficiaries				

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

(1) Passive equity								
(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting							
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting							
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting							
(2) Active – quantitative								
(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting							
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting							
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting							

Stewardship

Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

• (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s):

https://www.swisslife-am.com/content/dam/slam/documents_publications/slam_approach_to_responsible_investment/ri-approach/AM_EngagementPolicy_20200331_formatted.pdf, ■ https://www.swisslife-am.com/content/dam/slam/documents_publications/Policies-Countries-Legal-Entities/CH-SLAM/Voting%20Policy_20190507.pdf, ■ https://www.swisslife-am.com/content/dam/slam/documents_publications/Policies-Countries-Legal-Entities/LUX-Funds/lux-funds-2020/SLAM%20LUX%20Voting%20Policy.pdf, ■ https://www.swisslife-

 $am.com/content/dam/slam/documents_publications/Policies-Countries-Legal-Entities/FR/4-Politique-de-vote-2019_Swiss-Life-Asset-Managers-France.pdf$

 \circ (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available

 \circ (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy	(12) 100%
(B) Passively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy	(12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

☑ (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:

We support activities to enhance shareholder rights, foster transparency, contribute to reduce the impact of climate change and improve the general sustainability performance as long as the financial interests of the clients and investors in our products are safeguarded.

(B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:
 We support activities to enhance shareholder rights, foster transparency, contribute to reduce the impact of climate change and improve the general sustainability performance as long as the financial interests of the clients and investors in our products are safeguarded.

C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:
 We support activities to enhance shareholder rights, foster transparency, contribute to reduce the impact of climate change and improve the general sustainability performance as long as the financial interests of the clients and investors in our products are safeguarded.

 \square (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 17	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations before voting is executed	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear	(2) in the majority of cases

Security lending policy

Ind	icator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE	18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

• (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):

• (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available

 \circ (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)

(D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme

 \circ (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

 \circ (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors or on our stewardship priorities

• (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal

 \circ (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress

 \circ (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default

 \circ (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

 \Box (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system

□ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:

 \Box (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain

 \square (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:

(E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned

to vote against management proposals or abstain

 \blacksquare (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions

 \Box (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

\odot (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:

www.swisslife.com/ar2020, https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/MTAxODE=/

 \circ (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:

 \circ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:

 \circ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21.1	CORE	LE 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's AGM/EGM do you publish your voting decisions?

\bigodot (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM

- \circ (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- \circ (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

 \Box (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company

 \Box (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly

 \blacksquare (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale

 \square (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

 \Box (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly

 \square (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly

 \Box (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

Fixed Income (FI)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 10	FI 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
Does your org	ganisation have a formal i	nvestment process	to identify mate	rial ESG factor	s for its fixed income as	sets?
		(1) SSA	A	(2) Corpora	ate (3)	Securitised
process to	s, we have a formal identify material ESG for all of our assets	۲		۲		0
process to	s, we have a formal identify material ESG r the majority of our assets	0		o		0
process to	s, we have a formal identify material ESG or a minority of our assets	O		o		0
proces	e do not have a formal s. Our investment nals identify material actors at their own discretion	0		o		0
	e do not have a formal identify material ESG factors	o		0		۲

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1.1	CORE	FI 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
How does your	current investment pro	cess incorporate ma	aterial ESG facto	rs?		
			(1) SSA		(2) Corpora	te
incorporates	(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors					
incorpo	vestment process rates material al and social factors	I				
incorporat factors beyon	vestment process es material ESG d our organisation's tment time horizon					
incorpora material ESG	vestment process tes the effect of factors on revenues iness operations				V	

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 10	FI 2.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto			

(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits		
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors		
(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process, please specify below:		
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate ESG factors into our portfolio risk management		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2.1	CORE	FI 2	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your fixed income assets are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

(1) SSA

(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our assets
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our assets
(2) Corporate	
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets

(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our assets
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our assets
(3) Securitised	
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(2) for the majority of our assets
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(2) for the majority of our assets
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	(2) for the majority of our assets

ESG incorporation in asset valuation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 10	FI 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

How do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability			
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer		Z	
(C) We do not incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into our fixed income asset valuation process			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3.1	CORE	FI 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1
In what prope	ortion of cases do ye	ou incorporate the	evolution of H	ESG factors int	o your fixed income asset valuation	n process?
			(1) S	SA		
(B) We and	ticipate how the eve	olution of ESG fac the debt issue		ge the ESG pro	ofile of (1) in all ca	ses
			(2) Cor	porate		
(B) We and	ticipate how the eve	olution of ESG fac the debt issue	-	ge the ESG pro	offile of (1) in all ca	ses
(3) Securitised						
(B) We and	ticipate how the eve	olution of ESG fac the debt issue	-	ge the ESG pro	offile of (2) in the majorit	y of cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI~5	CORE	OO 10	FI 5.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfo	olio 1
How do ESG	factors influence your	portfolio const	ruction?			
			(1) SSA		(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
assets wi	election of individual thin our portfolio is ced by ESG factors					

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors			
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors			
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	V	V	Ţ
(E) Other expressions of conviction, please specify below:			
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5.1	CORE	FI 5	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(1) SSA

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases

(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	(1) in all cases
(2) Corporate	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	(1) in all cases
(3) Securitised	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	(2) in the majority of cases

ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers

Ind	licator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI	7	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers	1

When assessing issuers'/borrowers' credit quality, how does your organisation incorporate material ESG risks in the majority of cases?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) In the majority of cases, we incorporate material governance- related risks	o	o	o
(B) In addition to incorporating governance-related risks, in the majority of cases we also incorporate material environmental and social risks	۲	۲	۲
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG risks for the majority of our credit quality assessments of issuers/borrowers	o	o	o

ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG performance	1

In the majority of cases, how do you assess the relative ESG performance of a borrower within a peer group as part of your investment process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
 (A) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to adjust the internal credit assessments of borrowers by modifying forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates 			
(B) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to make relative sizing decisions in portfolio construction			
 (C) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to screen for outliers when comparing credit spreads to ESG relative performance within a similar peer group 			
 (D) We consider the ESG performance of a borrower only on a standalone basis and do not compare it within peer groups of other benchmarks 			
(E) We do not have an internal ESG performance assessment methodology			

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	CORE	OO 10	FI 9.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For your corporate fixed income, does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country and sector?

☑ (A) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)

 \square (B) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by sector

 \square (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country/region and sector

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9.1	CORE	FI 9	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your corporate fixed income assets do you apply your framework for differentiating ESG risks by issuer country/sector?

	(1) for all of our corporate fixed income assets	(2) for the majority of our corporate fixed income assets	(3) for a minority of our corporate fixed income assets
 (A) We differentiate ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices) 	۲	0	0
(B) We differentiate ESG risks by sector	۲	0	0

Securitised products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Securitised products	1

How do you incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products?

• (A) We analyse ESG risks and returns for both the issuer or debtor and the underlying collateral or asset pool

(B) We perform ESG analysis that covers the issuer or debtor only

 \circ (C) We perform ESG analysis that covers the underlying collateral or asset pool only

 \circ (D) We do not incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products

Post-investment phase

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1
Do your regular	reviews incorporate ES	SG risks?				
		(1) SS	A	(2) Corpo	orate (3)	Securitised
quantitativ material ES	ular reviews include ve information on GG risks specific to fixed income assets	V		V		
aggregat information	ular reviews include ed quantitative n on material ESG at a fund level					
highlight fu	gular reviews only nd holdings where 1gs have changed					
reviews. Ris factors are discretion of	not conduct regular sk reviews of ESG conducted at the the individual fund d vary in frequency					
	ot conduct reviews porate ESG risks					

ndicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
FI 13	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1			
Do you regula	Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your fixed income assets?								
		(1) SS	A	(2) Corpo	orate (3)	Securitised			
process i identifyin ESG inc	s, we have a formal in place for regularly ng and incorporating idents into all of our estment decisions	۲		۲		۲			
process i identifyin ESG incid	s, we have a formal in place for regularly ng and incorporating ents into the majority investment decisions	ο		0		0			
process i identifyin ESG incide	s, we have a formal in place for regularly ng and incorporating ents into a minority of ivestment decisions	O		0		0			
process in	, we have an ad hoc n place for identifying porating ESG incidents	o		o		0			
place for and incorp	o not have a process in regularly identifying porating ESG incidents investment decision- making	O		0		0			

Time horizons

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Time horizons	1

In the majority of cases, how does your investment process account for differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We take into account current risks			
(B) We take into account medium-term risks			
(C) We take into account long- term risks			
(D) We do not take into account differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors			

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets	۲	۲	۲

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets	0	0	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets	o	0	O
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	o	O	o

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
FI 21	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG screens	6	
How do you e	How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?						
	 (A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to list of ESG screens: (4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens 						
() 1	oublish any changes in ES uch as a website or throug to ES		(4) for none o subject to E				
(C) We out	(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries					y of our fixed bject to ESG ms	

Real Estate (RE)

Policy

Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- □ (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail, education etc.)
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- \square (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to major renovations
- (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- □ (E) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to property managers
- (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- \Box (H) Guidelines on excluding certain tenants based on responsible investment considerations
- \Box (I) Our policies do not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

Fundraising

Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
${ m RE}\ 2$	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

 \square (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure

 \square (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request

 \square (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request

 \Box (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year

 \Box (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds

 \square (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	N/A	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(B) We performed a mix of property type and asset-level materiality analysis	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments
(C) We assessed materiality according to property type only	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

☑ (A) We used GRI Standards to inform our real estate materiality analysis

 \square (B) We used SASB to inform our real estate materiality analysis

- \square (C) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- \Box (D) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate materiality analysis
- \square (E) Other, please specify:

and that for the aspects universe we used a more specific (more broad and more in-depth) industry standard set of list (KBOB/IPB Factsheets for sustainable real estate management)

Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your real estate investments?

(A) ESG factors helped identify risks	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments		
(B) ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments		
(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments		
(D) ESG factors helped identify opportunities for value creation	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments		
(E) ESG factors led to the abandonment of potential investments	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments		
(F) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on revenue assumptions	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments		

	G factors impacted investm having an effect	(3) for a mino potential real esta				
(H) ESO	G factors impacted investm having an effe	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments				
()	G factors impacted investme aving an effect on the cost	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(4) for none of ou estate inve	-
I	(J) Ot	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments				
ndicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
E 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1
(A) We d	lo a high-level/desktop revi	ew against an ESG	checklist for initia	ıl red flags	(1) for all of our estate inve	-
(A) We d		_		l red flags	estate inve (4) for none of ou	stments r potential real
	(B) We send detailed ES	G questionnaires to	target properties	-	estate inve (4) for none of ou estate inve (1) for all of our	stments r potential real stments potential real
		G questionnaires to	target properties	-	estate inve (4) for none of ou estate inve	stments r potential real stments potential real
(C) We h	(B) We send detailed ES	G questionnaires to s to do technical due	target properties e diligence on spec	cific issues	estate inve (4) for none of ou estate inve (1) for all of our	stments r potential real stments potential real stments potential real
(C) We h (D) We co	(B) We send detailed ESC nire third-party consultants	G questionnaires to s to do technical due opth interviews with on the risks and oppo	target properties e diligence on spec management and ortunities identifi	cific issues l personnel	estate inve (4) for none of ou estate inve (1) for all of our estate inve (1) for all of our	stments r potential real stments potential real stments potential real stments ority of our
(C) We h (D) We co (E) We i (F) We	(B) We send detailed ESO nire third-party consultants onduct site visits and in-de ncorporate actions based o due diligence process incorporate ESG due dilige documentation in the sam	G questionnaires to s to do technical due epth interviews with on the risks and opposition our post-inves ence findings in all o	target properties e diligence on spec management and ortunities identifi- tment plans f our relevant inv er key due diligen	cific issues I personnel ed in the estment	estate inve (4) for none of ou estate inve (1) for all of our estate inve (1) for all of our estate inve (2) for the maj	stments r potential real stments potential real stments potential real stments ority of our the investments potential real

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers

Selection process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include ESG factors in all of your selections of external property managers? (If you did not select external property managers during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected external property managers.)

 \checkmark (A) We requested information from potential managers on their overall approach to ESG

 \Box (B) We requested track records and examples from potential managers on how they manage ESG factors

☑ (C) We requested information from potential managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders

🗹 (D) We requested documentation from potential managers on their responsible procurement practices (including responsibilities, approach and incentives)

(E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential managers

 \Box (F) Other, please specify:

 \Box (G) We did not include ESG factors in our selection of external property managers

Appointment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process	1, 4

How did you include ESG factors in the appointment of your current external property managers?

(A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements	(2) for the majority of our external property managers

(C) We set clear ESG performance targets	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(D) We set incentives related to ESG targets	(4) for none of our external property managers
(E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(F) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our external property managers

Monitoring process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 8	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process	1, 4
	nclude ESG factors in the			-	(2) for the majo	rity of our
	(A) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative environmental targets				external property managers	
(B) We m	nonitor performance agair	st quantitative and	d/or qualitative s	social targets	(3) for a minority of property ma	

(B) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative social targets	property managers
(C) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative governance targets	(3) for a minority of our external property managers
(D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(E) We require formal reporting on an annual basis as a minimum	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(F) We have regular discussions about ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(G) We conduct a performance review of key staff based on ESG alignment linked to KPIs and a financial incentive structure	(4) for none of our external property managers

(H) We have internal/external parties conduct site visits at least once a year
 (3) for a minority of our external property managers

(I) Other, please specify: NA

(4) for none of our external property managers

Construction and development

Construction requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 9	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Construction requirements	1

What sustainability requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?

 \square (A) We require the management of waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal

 \square (B) We require the management of waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks and soil from disposal

 \blacksquare (C) We require the minimisation of light pollution to the surrounding community

 \blacksquare (D) We require the minimisation of noise pollution to the surrounding community

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (E) We require the performance of an environmental site assessment

 \blacksquare (F) We require the protection of the air quality during construction

 \square (G) We require the protection and restoration of the habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development

 \square (H) We require the protection of surface and ground water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants

 \blacksquare (I) We require the constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site

 \Box (J) Other, please specify:

 \square (K) We do not have sustainability requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

Minimum building requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle				
RE 10	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Minimum building requirements	1				
What minimu	What minimum building requirements do you have in place for development projects and major renovations?									
(A) W	Ve require the impleme	entation of the lat technology	test available m	etering and IoT	development pro	ajority of our ojects and major rations				
(B) We	e require that the buil cert	ding be able to ob ification for new b		ed green buildir	development pro	ajority of our ojects and major rations				
(C) W	We require the use of co	ertified (or labelled	1) sustainable b	ouilding materia	als development pro	ajority of our ojects and major vations				
(D) We	e require the installati	ion of renewable e	nergy technolog	gies where feasil	ble development pro	ajority of our ojects and major vations				
	equire that developme arbon emitters within				development pro	nority of our ojects and major rations				
	(F) We req	uire water conserv	vation measures	3	development pro	ajority of our ojects and major rations				
((G) We require comme	on occupant healt	h and well-bein	ig measures	development pro	nority of our ojects and major vations				
I	(1	H) Other, please synthesis No other	pecify:			our development ajor renovations				

Post-investment phase

Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle				
RE 11	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1				
During the rep	During the reporting year, what ESG building performance data did you collect for your real estate assets?									
		Thro	ough metering							
(A) Electricity consumption (3) for the minority of our re- estate assets										
(B) Water consumption					(3) for the minority of our real estate assets					
	(C) V	Vaste production			(4) for none of our real estate assets					
		Through	a another method							
	(A) Electricity consumption				(2) for the majority of our real estate assets					
	(B) Water consumption				(2) for the majority of our real estate assets					
	(C) Waste production				(3) for the mino estate					

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your real estate investments?

☑ (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance

- \Box (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks/standards
- \square (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- \Box (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we tracked
- \square (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your real estate investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance	(3) for a minority of our real estate investments		
(B) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio	(3) for a minority of our real estate investments		
(C) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed to achieve the target are put in place	(2) for the majority of our real estate investments		
(D) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems and procedures	(2) for the majority of our real estate investments		
(E) We collaborate and engage with our external property managers to develop action plans to achieve targets	(2) for the majority of our real estate investments		
(F) We develop minimum health and safety standards	(1) for all of our real estate investments		
(G) Other, please specify:	(4) for none of our real estate		
NA	investments		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle					
RE 14	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2					
	Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?										
(A) We	 (A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings (2) for the majority of our real estate investments 										
(B) We ac	ljust our ESG action plar	is regularly based or findings	1 performance mor	itoring	(3) for a minori estate inve	-					
 (C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities (3) for a minority of our real estate investments 											
	(D) Ot		(4) for none of c	our real estate							
NA						nents					

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

What proportion of your real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label?

 \circ (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

 \circ (B) The majority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

(C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

 \circ (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

Stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
RE 17	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2			
How does you engagement.)	ır property manager engag	e with tenants? (If	you are a propert	y manager, plea	se report on your	direct tenant			
		Tenants with	out operational c	ontrol					
	 (A) We engage with real estate tenants through organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties 								
(B) We en	 (B) We engage with real estate tenants on energy and water consumption and/or waste production (2) for the majority of our buildings or properties 								
	(C) We engage with real e	state tenants by off	ering green leases		(3) for a mine buildings or	-			
(D) '	We engage with real estate opportunities th	tenants through ide at support net-zero		tion	(3) for a mine buildings or				
 (E) We engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades (3) for a minority of ou buildings or properties 									
1	(F) Ot	her, please specify: NA			(4) for none of o prope	0			

\mathbf{Exit}

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle				
RE 18	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6				
During the re estate investr	eporting year, what response nents?	sible investment info	ormation has your	organisation sha	ared with potent	ial buyers of real				
(A) We sh	 (A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory) (1) for all of our real estate investments 									
(B) We s	(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD, GRESB) (1) for all of our real estate investments									
(C) We sh	ared our firm's responsible key aspects an	investment policy (d firm-specific appre	,	mmary of	(1) for all of or investi					
(D) We sh	ared our firm's ESG risk as and/or wi	ssessment methodole th external support		l, in-house	(3) for a minor estate inv					
(E) We s	shared the outcome of our a	latest ESG risk asse	ssment on the pro	perty(s)	(3) for a minor estate inv	-				
(F)	(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the property(s) being sold (3) for a minority of our real estate investments									
I.	(G) Oth		(4) for none of investo							

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

 \blacksquare (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report

 \blacksquare (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries

 \square (C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries

 \Box (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)

 \Box (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries

 \square (F) We did ad hoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents

 \Box (G) Other, please specify:

 \square (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year

Infrastructure (INF)

Policy

Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 1	CORE	OO 31, OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

☑ (A) Guidelines on how we adapt our ESG approach for each infrastructure sector we invest in

☑ (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing investments or operating assets

 \Box (D) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to workforce

 \Box (E) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party operators

 \square (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to contractors

 \square (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to other external stakeholders (e.g. government, local communities and end-users)

 \square (H) Our policies do not cover infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines

Fundraising

Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

☑ (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure

 \square (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request

 \Box (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request

 \Box (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year

 \square (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds

 \Box (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3	CORE	N/A	INF 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential infrastructure investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique	(2) for the majority of our potential infrastructure investments
(B) We performed a mix of industry-level and asset-level materiality analysis	(4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments
(C) We assessed materiality at the industry level only	(4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3.1	CORE	INF 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential infrastructure investments?

 \square (A) We used GRI Standards to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis

 \square (B) We used SASB to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis

□ (C) We used GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar in our infrastructure materiality analysis

 \Box (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards) in our infrastructure materiality analysis

 \Box (E) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis

☑ (F) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our infrastructure materiality analysis

 \square (G) Other, please specify:

We use a self-developed assessment, which consists of a comprehensive list of ESG KPIs for portfolio companies on an ongoing basis. KPIs are tracked, among other topics, on energy use and greenhouse gas emissions; water usage and waste generation; health & safety metrics; and employee gender balance as well as employee satisfaction.

Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle					
INF 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1					
During the re	During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your infrastructure investments?										
	(A) ESG factors helped identify risks (1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected										
(B) ES	SG factors were discussed	by the investment c	ommittee (or equi	valent)	(1) for all of our investments						
(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent) (2) for the majority of our infrastructure investment selected											
	(D) ESG factors helped id	(2) for the major infrastructure select	investments								

) ESG factors led to the	abandonment of po	tential investment	S	(3) for a mino infrastructure i select	nvestments
(F) ESG	factors impacted investm having an effec	ents in terms of prie t on revenue assum	,	paid by	(2) for the maj infrastructure i select	nvestments
(G) ESG	factors impacted investm having an effec	ents in terms of prie t on CAPEX assum	· · · · ·	paid by	(3) for a mino infrastructure i select	nvestments
(H) ESG	factors impacted investm having an effe	ents in terms of pri- ct on OPEX assump		paid by	(3) for a mino infrastructure i select	nvestments
	actors impacted investme ing an effect on the cost	-	, ,		(3) for a mino infrastructure i select	nvestments
	(J) Oth	her, please specify: NA			(4) for non- infrastructure i select	nvestments
dicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
IF 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1
nce material I vestments?	ESG factors have been id		sses do you use to	o conduct due d	-	ctors for potenti
(A) We do	a high-level/desktop revi	ew against an ESG o	checklist for initia	l red flags	(1) for all of ou infrastructure	-
(A) We do	a high-level/desktop revi (B) We send detailed E			l red flags		ority of our astructure
		SG questionnaires t	o target assets		(2) for the maj potential infra	investments ority of our astructure ents ur potential

	e actions based on the risks and opportunities identified in the igence process into the 100-day plan (or equivalent)	(2) for the majority of our potential infrastructure investments
	te ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment tation in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
responsible for ensu	committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately uring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as any due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
1	(H) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators

Selection process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 6	CORE	OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include ESG factors in all of your selections of external operators? (If you did not select external operators during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected external/third-party infrastructure operators.)

 \square (A) We requested information from potential operators on their overall approach to ESG

 \square (B) We requested track records and examples from potential operators on how they manage ESG factors

 \square (C) We requested information from potential operators on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders

 \square (D) We requested documentation from potential operators on their responsible procurement and/or contractor practices (including responsibilities, approach and incentives)

 \square (E) Other, please specify:

We maintain long-lasting business relationships with external operators and conduct regular site visits as part of our ongoing collaboration. Selection of external operators based on many years of cooperation

 \Box (F) We did not include ESG factors in our selection of external operators

Appointment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 7	CORE	OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process	1, 4
How did you i	nclude ESG factors in th	e appointment of	your current ext	ernal operator	s?	
(A) We see	et clear and detailed expe relevant elements of	-	-	ctors into all	(4) for none of ou operator	
	(B) We set clear	ESG reporting re	quirements		(2) for the major external oper	-
	(C) We set clea	ar ESG performan	ce targets		(2) for the major external oper	-
	(D) We set ince	ntives related to E	SG targets		(4) for none of ou operator	
I	(E) O	ther, please specify NA	<i>r</i> :		(4) for none of ou operator	

Monitoring process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 8	CORE	OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process	1, 4
How do you incl	ude ESG factors in the	monitoring of exte	rnal operators?			
(A) We moni	tor performance agains	t quantitative and $_{/}$ targets	or qualitative er	nvironmental	(2) for the major external ope	v
(B) We mor	itor performance agains	st quantitative and	or qualitative s	ocial targets	(2) for the major external ope	U
(C) We mo	nitor performance agair	nst quantitative and targets	d/or qualitative	governance	(2) for the major external ope	v

(D) We have regular discussions	about ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders	(3) for a minority of our external operators
	view of key staff based on ESG alignment linked to a financial incentive structure	(4) for none of our external operators
(F) We have internal/external	l parties conduct site visits at least once a year	(2) for the majority of our external operators
(G)	Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our external operators

Post-investment phase

Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 9	CORE	N/A	INF 9.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more core ESG KPIs across all your infrastructure investments?

☑ (A) Yes, we tracked environmental KPIs

(B) Yes, we tracked social KPIs

 \square (C) Yes, we tracked governance KPIs

 \square (D) We did not track ESG KPIs across our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 10	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your infrastructure investments?

 \square (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance

 \square (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks or standards

 \Box (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)

 \Box (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we track

 \Box (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 11	CORE	OO 32	INF 11.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1,2
What process	es do you have in place to	support meeting you	1r ESG targets for	r your infrastru	cture investments	?
(A) We us	se operational-level benchm assets again	narks to assess and a nst sector performan		nance of	3/ For a mine infrastructure	
	Ve implement internationa Performance Standards to				4/ For nor infrastructure	
(C) We in	nplement certified environ	mental and social ma our portfolio	anagement system	s across	3/ For a mine infrastructure	
(D) We ma	ake sufficient budget availa needed to achieve	able to ensure that the the target are put		rocedures	3/ For a mine infrastructure	
(E) We	e hire external verification	services to audit per procedures	formance, systems	and	3/ For a mine infrastructure	
(F) We co	llaborate and engage with to a	our external operato achieve targets	ors to develop acti	on plans	2/ For the mainfrastructure	
	(G) We develop minin	num health and safe	ty standards		1/ For all of our investr	
(H) V	We conduct ongoing engag communities, NGO:	ement with all key st s, governments and o	(0	ocal	1/ For all of our investr	
I	(I) Oth	ner, please specify: NA			4/ For nor infrastructure	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
NF 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2
Post-investme your investme	ent, how do you manage m ents?	aterial ESG-related	risks and opportu	nities to create	value during the h	olding period of
(A) We	e develop company-specific research, due dilig	ESG action plans be ence and materiality	-	ment	(1) for all of our investm	
(B) We a	djust our ESG action plan	s regularly based on findings	performance mor	nitoring	(1) for all of our investm	
(C) We hi	ire external advisors to pro oj	ovide support with s pportunities	pecific ESG value	creation	(3) for a mino infrastructure	
I	(D) Oth	her, please specify: NA			(4) for non infrastructure	
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 14	CORE	N/A	INF 14.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2
How do you e	nsure that adequate ESG-	related competence e	exists at the asset	level?		
	(A) We assign the boar	rd responsibility for	ESG matters		(2) for the mag infrastructure	

(B) We mandate that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least once a year	(2) for the majority of our infrastructure investments
(C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to	(3) for a minority of our
the asset to C-suite executives only	infrastructure investments
(D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to	(3) for a minority of our
the asset to employees (excl. C-suite executives)	infrastructure investments
(E) We support the asset in developing and implementing its ESG strategy	(2) for the majority of our infrastructure investments

1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

\mathbf{Exit}

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 15	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments?

(A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments	
(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD or GRESB)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments	
(C) We shared our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments	
(D) We shared our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered, in-house and/or with external support)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments	
(E) We shared the outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments	
(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments	

(G) Other, please specify:

Swiss Life did not have any exits, therefore no information was disclosed. If an Exits occurs, all of the above mentioned aspects would be shared with potential buyers.

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

 \blacksquare (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report

 \square (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries

 \square (C) We reported on the asset level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries

 \Box (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)

 \square (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries

 \square (F) We did adhoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents

 \Box (G) Other, please specify:

 \Box (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year